Visible Light-Assisted Dehydrogenation of Alkanes Catalyzed by the RhCl(CH₂=CH₂)(PMe₃)₂-hv System

Toshiyasu SAKAKURA, Fujio ABE, and Masato TANAKA*

National Chemical Laboratory for Industry, Higashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305

Alkanes are catalytically dehydrogenated to alkenes with RhCl(CH₂=CH₂)(PMe₃)₂ (λ_{max} 416 nm) under visible light irradiation in the presence of ethylene. The reaction proceeds smoothly even at room temperature; the quantum yield of cyclooctane dehydrogenation was 0.10 at 25 °C.

We have reported various functionalizations of hydrocarbons *via* C-H bond activation catalyzed by the RhCl(CO)(PMe3)2-hv system. 1) Especially, dehydrogenation of alkanes proceeds with high efficiency. However, since this system needs constant UV irradiation, development of visible-light assisted reactions has been strongly desired. Here we wish to report dehydrogenation of alkanes with a rhodium complex under visible light irradiation.

We first examined the catalytic activities of the bisphosphine-bridged analogues (1 and 2) of RhCl(CO)(PMe3)2 (3) in dehydrogenation of cyclooctane because the electronic absorptions of 1 and 2 appear at considerably longer wavelength as compared with 3 (λ_{max} 360 nm) owing to the rhodium-rhodium interactions.²⁾ However, these complexes hardly catalyzed the reaction under visible light irradiation (Eq. 1).3,4)

On the other hand, we have recently synthesized the ethylene analogue of 3, RhCl(CH₂=CH₂)(PMe₃)₂ (4) from [RhCl(PMe₃)₂]₂.⁵) Since 4 also shows an absorption in the visible region (λ_{max} 416 nm in cyclooctane), 4 is expected to catalyze dehydrogenation of alkanes under visible light irradiation. In practice, cyclooctane was smoothly converted to cyclooctene at 30 °C under ethylene, which was necessary to stabilize the complex (Eq. 2). The quantum yield was 0.10 at 25 °C.⁶) The carbonyl complex 3 was almost inactive under the same reaction conditions. The analysis of gaseous products after the reaction at 25 °C for 0.25 h revealed the formation of ethane (turnover 18) with a small amount of dihydrogen (turnover 1); the corresponding amount of cyclooctene was detected in the liquid phase (turnover 20). Thus the present dehydrogenation is basically a transfer hydrogenation of ethylene with alkanes. Ethylene insertion products,

such as ethyl- or vinylcyclooctanes and C4 compounds formed via ethylene dimerization were not detected. Heating of the reaction mixture to 70 °C considerably accelerated the reaction. The dehydrogenation of cycloheptane proceeded in a similar manner to give cycloheptene without any formation of cyclooctene (Eq. 3). This clearly shows that cyclooctene in Eq. 2 did not come from cyclotetramerization of ethylene.

$$\frac{\text{RhCI}(\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2)(\text{PMe}_3)_2}{0.7 \text{ mmol/dm}^3, \text{ hv } (\lambda > 375 \text{ nm})} \qquad \frac{\text{Temp/°C}}{30} \qquad \frac{\text{Turnover rate/h}^{-1}}{70} \qquad \frac{160}{30} \qquad (2)$$

$$CH_2=CH_2 \text{ (1 atm)} \qquad \qquad (\text{c.f. RhCI}(\text{CO})(\text{PMe}_3)_2, 30 \text{ °C: turnover rate} = 1 \text{ h}^{-1})$$

$$\frac{\text{RhCI}(\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2)(\text{PMe}_3)_2}{1.2 \text{ mmol/dm}^3, \text{ hv } (\lambda > 375 \text{ nm})} \qquad (3)$$

$$CH_2=CH_2 \text{ (1 atm)}, 70 \text{ °C} \qquad \text{Turnover rate } 89 \text{ h}^{-1}$$

Although hydrogen transfer from alkanes to alkenes has already been reported by Crabtree and Felkin,⁷⁾ the present procedure is advantageous in the following points. i) The catalytic activity is much higher at a much lower temperature. ii) The hydrogen acceptor (ethylene) is much less expensive than t-butylethylene, the use of which is indispensable in both Crabtree's and Felkin's systems. iii) It shows the new possibility of the utilization of solar energy.

Since the catalytic activity of RhCl(L)(PMe₃)₂ under visible light irradiation varied depending upon the identity of L (CO or CH₂=CH₂), further improvement can be expected by suitably modifying the nature of the ligand.

References

- 1) M. Tanaka and T. Sakakura, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **62**, 1147 (1990); T. Sakakura, T. Sodeyama, K. Sasaki, K. Wada, and M. Tanaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **112**, 7221 (1990); T. Sakakura, T. Sodeyama, and M. Tanaka, *New J. Chem.*, **13**, 737 (1989) and references cited therein.
- 2) A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 8049 (1976).
- 3) The reactions of Eqs. 1 to 3 were carried out in 2.5 cm³ scale under irradiation with a high-pressure mercury lamp (Ushio USH-500D) through a UV-cut filter (Toshiba L-39); transmittance is over 10% at $\lambda > 375$ nm.
- 4) Under UV irradiation through Pyrex (Riko UVL-100H), 1 catalyzed the dehydrogenation of cyclooctane (Rh 0.7 mg-atom/dm³, 96 °C). The catalytic activity was increasing with the reaction time; turnover numbers at 1,3, and 6 h were 3, 37, and 118, respectively.
- 5) T. Sakakura, F. Abe, and M. Tanaka, submitted to this journal.
- 6) In the quantum yield measurement, mercury emissions at 405 and 436 nm of a high-pressure mercurry lamp were irradiated through a set of glass filters (Toshiba L-39 and V-42); relative transmittance is over 10% from 375 to 500 nm. Photon number was estimated by means of a thermopile photocalorimeter: Scientech Inc., Model 38-0405.
- 7) R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.*, **85**, 245 (1985); H. Felkin, T. Fillebeen-Khan, Y. Gault, R. Holmes-Smith, and J. Zakrzewski, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **25**, 1279 (1984).

(Received November 13, 1990)